

JELLIS A. FONDA.

DECEMBER 22, 1831.

Mr. PENDLETON, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the following

REPORT:

*The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Jellis A. Fonda, for a pension, report:*

That the papers laid before the committee, are copies of the originals furnished to the Treasury Department by the petitioner, and they are certified to be true copies by Mr. Ingham, under the seal of the Treasury.

That from these papers, it is satisfactorily shown that the petitioner served in the revolutionary war as an officer, from 1777 to the end of the war in 1783; and that the reason why his claim for a pension, under the act of 1828, has not been admitted at the Treasury, is, that the regiments in which he served were not upon the continental establishment.

It further appears to the committee, that, at the last session of Congress, the petitioner's claim was laid before the committee, and that it was not reported upon because the law which had then passed the House of Representatives, included in its provisions the case of the petitioner. The committee have not thought it right to subject the petitioner, who is very indigent and aged, to the delay of the bill alluded to; and report a bill in his favor from the 1st of January, 1830.

JELLY A. FORD

December 28, 1871

Mr. Pennington, from the Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, made the following

REPORT

The Committee on Revolutionary Pensions, to whom was referred the petition of Jelly A. Ford, for a pension, report

That the papers laid before the committee, are copies of the originals furnished to the Treasury Department by the petitioner, and they are certified to be true copies by Mr. Jackson, under the seal of the Treasury.

That from these papers, it is ascertained that the petitioner served in the revolutionary war, as a soldier, from 1777 to the end of the war in 1783; and that the reason why his name for a pension, under the act of 1832, was not furnished at the Treasury, is that the documents in which he served were not upon the continental establishment.

It further appears to the committee, that at the last session of Congress the petitioner's claim was laid before the committee, and that it was not reported upon because the law which had then passed the House of Representatives, required in its provisions the case of the petitioner. The committee have not thought it right to suspend the petitioner, who is very indigent, and aged, to the delay of the bill alluded to; and report a bill in his favor, the 1st of January, 1872.